



شبكات الجيل الخامس للاتصالات والمخاطر الصحية: هل هي آمنه للأجيال القادمة؟

5G Mobile Networks and Health Risks: Is It Safe for Future Generations?

Mohab A. Mangoud,

Professor, Electrical Engineering

Electrical and Electronics Engineering Department

College of Engineering

University of Bahrain

IEEE Comsoc Bahrain chapter Chair





Webinar Outlines

- 1. What's different about <u>5G</u>? (Status/<u>deployments</u> around the world)!
- 2. Health Effects associated with 5G. (Coronavirus (Conspiracy theory)!
- 3. 5G Spectrum and None ionizing Radiation.
- 4. Exposure levels and guidelines (EMF metrics)?
- 5. Does 5G and mmWaves pose <u>health risks</u>? How worried should we be?
- 6. Conclusions / Discussion / Q & A

Main References

[1] Wu, Ting, Theodore S. Rappaport, and Christopher M. Collins. "Safe for generations to come: Considerations of safety for millimeter waves in wireless communications." *IEEE Microwave Magazine, March 2015*

[2] S. I. Alekseev and M. C. Ziskin, "Influence of blood flow and millimeter wave exposure on <u>skin</u> temperature in different thermal models," *Bioelectromagnetics*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 52–58, 2009.

[3] S. Chalfin, J. A. D'Andrea, P. D. Comeau, M. E. Belt, and D. J. Hatcher, "Millimeter wave absorption in the nonhuman primate <u>eye</u> at 35 GHz and 94 GHz," *Health Phys.*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 83–90, July 2000.

[4] Chiaraviglio, L., Elzanaty, A., & Alouini, M. S. (2020). Health Risks Associated with 5G Exposure: A View from the Communications Engineering Perspective. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00944.

[4] <u>https://www.icnirp.org</u>

[5] <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/5g-mobile-networks-and-health</u>

Disclaimer

This webinar is intended solely to provide information to the public based on the given references.





Source: 5G Samsung Report

Exabytes = 10^{18} = one bilion of gigabytes







5G 3.5 GHz Cell Site of Deutsche Telekom in Darmstadt, Germany



5G 3.5 GHz Cell Site of Vodafone in Karlsruhe, Germany



5G NR (New Radio) is a new radio access technology (RAT) developed by **3GPP** for the **5G** (fifth generation) mobile network. It was designed to be the global standard for the air interface of **5G** networks. ... **gNB** (i.e. a **5G** next generation base station), **NSA Vs. SA options**



5G vs 4G cells



https://vividcomm.com/2019/10/04/5g-small-cells/

POLICY MOBILE US & WORLD

Donald Trump extends Huawei ban through May 2021

Huawei is still in trouble with the White House By Chaim Gartenberg | @cgartenberg | May 13, 2020, 3:52pm EDT

f 🈏 🗁 SHARE



Illustration by Alex Castro / The Verge

President Donald Trump has extended <u>his executive order</u> banning US companies from working with or buying telecommunications equipment from companies deemed a national security risk until May 2021, <u>via *Reuters*</u>.







https://www.speedtest.net/ookla-5g-map





TRA Updates

Checkout our latest news and updates about what's happening at TRA

 $\overset{}{\checkmark}$ → MEDIA CENTRE → PRESS RELEASES → 5G DOWNLOAD SPEEDS IN BAHRAIN EXCEED [...]

5G Download Speeds in Bahrain Exceed 1 GB – according to TRA's latest Quality of Service Measurement Report



🛗 April 21,2020

The Telecommunications Regulatory Authority of Bahrain announced the publication of their latest Quality of Service Report, shedding light on the progress of 5G Networks.

A comparison between 4G and 5G performance shows average speeds of approximately 80 megabits per second compared to 5G's average of approximately 600 megabits per second, though records higher than 1GB per second were observed.

Recent News

🛗 April 21,2020

5G Download Speeds in Bahrain Exceed 1 GB – according to TRA's latest Quality of Service ...

🛗 April 01,2020

89% increase in disputes, TRA continues efforts in protection of telecoms service consumers: ...

🛗 March 23,2020

TRA supports national initiatives in combating COVID-19

February 22,2020

TRA opens registration for Cyber Safety Award



<u>5G NETWORKS</u> QOS AND COVERAGE AUDIT KINGDOM OF BAHRAIN

4G = approximately <u>80 megabits per</u> <u>second.</u> compared to 5G's average of approximately <u>600</u> megabits per second, though records higher than <u>1</u> <u>GB per second</u> were observed.





5G Map Sites



http://content.batelco.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/16085231/batelco-5g-Maps.pdf

Galaxy S20 | S20+ | S20 Ultra

From \$13.89/mo® for 36 months or \$499.99



5G devices

5G

5G Non-Standalone (NSA), Standalone (SA), Sub6 / mmWave

On March 6, 2020 the first-ever all-5G smartphone <u>Samsung Galaxy</u> <u>S20</u> was released. first commercial 5G smartphone HUAWEI Mate 20 X (5G) is launched globally.²



Connecting The Future



On March 19, <u>HMD Global</u>, the current maker of Nokia-branded phones, announced the Nokia 8.3, support all 5G bands from 600 MHz to 3.8 GHz.^[62]



At the time of writing (July 2020), no iPhone models support 5G. Will the iPhone 12 be Apple's first 5G phone?



2G : digital voice, 3G : first data services, 4G : mobile broadband
5G : designed to serve not only phones but for connecting everything else
(5G Isn't About the Smartphone @ IEEE Spectrum March 2019)

	3G	3G	4 G	5G		
E	UMTS	HSPA	LTE/LTE A.	5G NR		UR
15	200-400 MS	80- ISO MS	15-80 MS	2-5 MS		1-
R	941 D X	D asi 4 82%				
	DOWNLOAD Hops Moos Pring 13ms Jitter 11ms Loss					
			6		U	Jse
		15 30				



Performance Metrics	4G	5G
Peak data rate (Gbps)	1	20
User experienced data rate (Mbps)	10	100
Connection density (devices/km ²)	105	10 ⁶ (IOT)
Mobility support (kmph)	350	500
Area traffic capacity (Mbit/s/m ²)	0.1	10
Latency (ms)	10	1
Reliability (%)	99	99.99
Positioning accuracy (m)	1	0.01
Spectral efficiency (bps/Hz)	3	10
Network energy efficiency (J/bit) ¹	1	0.01
EE Communications Surveys & Tutorials (Volume: 20, Issu		

4G vs 5G



Key Technologies of 5G & 10T

- Millimeter waves
- Massive MIMO
- Multi-RAT (Radio Access Technology)
- Advanced D2D (Device to Device), M2M
- Dense Small Cell deployment







https://smallcells.3g4g.co.uk/2016/09/small-cell-forum-workshop-on-5g.html



Health Effects

& Overview over my own Research on mobile phone and Base stations effects

Cell phone radiation: Harmless or health risk?

Biological hazards of electromagnetic fields

Thermal effects

Nonthermal Effects

SAR and Exposure guidelines ?

The most apparent biological effects of RF energy at cell phone frequencies are due to heating. (increase in the temperature of the tissues)

EM radiation Non-thermal effects

•Worms raise safety concerns over mobile phone radiation. Nottingham University UK found that <u>female nematode worms exposed to mobile phone radiation produced</u> <u>stress hormones, grew 10% larger, and produced more eggs.</u> New Scientist 7 Feb 2002

• Pigeons get lost near radio masts. research shows that the prized birds, able to find their way from 700 miles away, get confused near radiation-emitting masts. Exposure also makes pigeons fly much lower than usual. Now pigeon fanciers are demanding curbs on the number of mobile phone masts to protect their birds. Research by the Swiss Bird Study Organisation. Sunday Mirror 18 June 2000

• Mobile phone radiation causes birth defects in chickens - <u>10,000 chicks exposed in</u> <u>eggs to mobile phone radiation. Result = doubled number of birth defects.</u> Dr Theodore Litovitz, Catholic University of America found research confirmed earlier French findings last year. Sunday Telegraph (Australia) 2 May 1999

- RF radiation IMPROVES brain function. Tiny study <u>only 36 people for 30 minute</u> <u>bursts.</u> Bristol University Dr Alan Preece. Lloyds Product Liability International 5 April 1999
- Mobile phone radiation disturbs sleep patterns. Electromagnetic fields from mobile phone use in bed significantly increases brain activity during early, non-rapid-eye-movement sleep.

EM radiation Non-thermal (Long term) effects

- Cancer promotion, "glioma and malignant tumors" ! "Possibly carcinogenic"
- Acoustic neuroma and central nervous system
- Alterations in cellular growth / DNA damages
- Effects on embryo development
- Male Fertility (sperm activity)
- Clugose metabolism
- EM hybersensitivity
- Immune system
- Ocular Clouding (cornea, lens)
- Skin Effects

** (mmWaves)
** (mmWaves)

- Animal based & Population-based Studies.
- 1. Vast majority has given a <u>negative</u> answer (non thermal effects)
- 2. Some positive findings, but, generally, not been replicated (different power levels and exposur
- 3. None of previous studies investigate the impact of frequencies in the mm-Wave band.
- 4. Needs long term studies (years)

EM radiation Non-thermal effects (Animal based studies)

1. Brain cancer in rats after RF radiation exposure												
	Exposure to RF radiation			No. of rats		Cancer						
Researchers, dates	Frequency, MHz	SAR, W/kg	Duration, months	RF exposed	Unexposed	Tumor generation						
Brain tumor generation												
C.K. Chou et el., 1992	2450 PM	0.15-0.4	25	100	100	None						
J.C. Toler et al., 1997	435 PM	0.32	21	200	200	No significant difference between groups						
M.R. Frei et al., 1998	2450 FM	0.3		100	100							
M.R. Frei et al., 1998	2450 FM	1.0	10	100	100	None						
Brain tumor generation PLUS promotion of chemically induced tumors												
Researchers, dates*	Frequency	SAR	Duration	RF exposed ^e	Unexposed	Tumor generation	Tum or promotion					
W.R. Adey et al., 1999	837 PM	0.3–2.3	25	60¤	60	Insignificant decrease in RF-exposed rats						
W.R. Adey et al., 2000	837 FM	0.3–2.3	26	90	90	No sig diff. None						
B.C. Zook et al., 1999	860 FM											
B.C. Zook et al., 1999	860 PM	1	22	60	60	No sig diff.						

National Toxicology Program (NTP) study Ramazzini Institute Study.

Some + findings : male rats?

the claimed about the carcinogenicity of RF can not be applied to 5G gNBs and 5G UE. [4]

Source: http://infoventures.com/emf/spectrum.htm.



INTERPHONE Project (IARC) – Population based studies

http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2010/pdfs/pr200_E.pdf

- This is the largest study of the risk of brain tumours in relation to mobile phone use conducted to date. Use of mobile phones over ten years was conducted by IARC. case-control approach, across 13 countries during the years 2000-2012. more than 5000 patients with glioma or meningioma and 1000 patients with acoustic neuroma. similar group of people, not affected. "case-control approach"
- Overall, did not prove any connection between glioma or meningioma and acoustic neuroma and use of mobile phones. There were observation of an increased risk of glioma at the <u>highest exposure levels</u>, but <u>biases and error prevent a causal interpretation</u>.
- Same results for Danish Cohort Study (1990-2007 380000 subscriber), Million Women Study(UK) 1999-2009, and CEFALO Case-Control Study (EU, age 7-19) {patients were interviewed about UE usage}

WHO: Cell phone use can increase possible cancer risk

By Danielle Dellorto, CNN May 31, 2011 -- Updated 1749 GMT (0149 HKT) http://edition.cnn.com/2011/HEALTH/ 05/31/who.cell.phones/



The Telegraph

May 31, 2011

Mobile phones 'possibly carcinogenic' say World Health Organisation experts

Mobile phones may increase the risk of developing brain cancer, an influential health organisation has said admitted for the first time.

International Agency for Research on Cancer



PRESS RELEASE N° 208 A Working Group of 31 scientists from 14 countries met at IARC in Lyon, France

31 May 2011

IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

Lyon, France, May 31, 2011 -- The WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as **possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)**, based on an increased risk for **glioma**, a malignant type of brain cancer¹, associated with wireless phone use.

Group 2B - possibly carcinogenic (not Group 2A - probably carcinogenic). That means that there <u>"could be some risk" of carcinogenicity</u>, so additional research into the long-term, heavy use of mobile phones needs to be conducted.

http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2011/pdfs/pr208_E.pdf

ssion 3 - Maria Feychting

cer: what has epidemiology found?



ICNIRP 7th International NIR Workshop Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 9-11 May 2012

Conclusions

- So far little evidence that mobile phone use affect brain tumor risk
- Incidence trends are not compatible with the few observed risk increases
- However, some uncertainties remain:
 - → Still short induction period up to around 15 years
 - → Need to follow-up on the results for heaviest users
- Can only be done with prospective design combining selfreported and registered mobile phone use
 - → Must minimize recall bias and non-differential exposure misclassification, as well as selection bias
- Follow brain tumor incidence trends in high quality registers

Conspiracy theory

Does 5G cause of spread the <u>Coronavirus</u>?

Fear of 5G !!!!!!!

International Protest Day against 5G EMF Radiation 2020 25 Jan 2020





Letter: More cell towers, more radiati... revelstokereview.com



5G Fear: Protesters rally in Grass Vall...

Anti 5G campaigners protest outside... onthewight.com



After protests, Fairfield Grace church... ctpost.com



Electromagnetic Radiation Safety: 5... saferemr.com



• This article is more than 1 month old

How baseless fears over 5G rollout created a health scare

Misconceptions about the technology and lack of consultation with local communities may have boosted conspiracy theories



A toxic misinformation and fake news fabrications





"radiophobia"








https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dJWlxbznDlQ

A viral message on social media has claimed that over 297 birds died in The Hague, Netherlands, during an attempt to test 5G connectivity.

the two incidents – 5G radiation and the trees / birds' deaths – are likely unrelated.

Technology & Science · FACT CHECK

Viral video claiming 5G caused pandemic easily debunked

Video spreads false claims linking technology to coronavirus

Katie Nicholson, Jason Ho, Jeff Yates · CBC News · Posted: Mar 23, 2020 5:55 PM ET | Last Updated: March 23



A video that has gone viral with the claim that the coronavirus was caused by 5G technology has been debunked by virologists. (Jeff Yates/CBC)

https://www.cbc.ca/news/technology/fact-check-viral-video-coronavirus-1.5506595 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lJwvTmZ4AMU

Cowan claims that **Wuhan**, the location where the COVID-19 outbreak began, was the first city to be covered by 5G. (two things are linked).

Note, Iran, one of the worst hotspots for COVID-19, does not have a 5G network, alongside other countries like Japan and Malaysia, also hit hard by the pandemic.

... EXPLOITING THE CURREN CRISIS TO CREATE **CONFUSION** AND **FEAR**



Keri Hilson

People have been trying to warn us about 5G for YEARS. Petitions, organizations, studies...what we're going thru is the affects of radiation.

5G launched in CHINA. Nov 1, 2019. People dropped dead. See attached & go to my IG stories for more. TURN OFF 5G by disabling LTE!!!

SOME **"SOURCES"** IN MEDIA HAVE **NETWORKS** TO **OUTBREAK...**

Burning Cell Towers, Out of Baseless Fear They Spread the Virus

A conspiracy theory linking the spread of the coronavirus to 5G wireless technology has spurred more than 100 incidents this month, British officials said.





England I	∟ocal News	Regions					
	Ad		IT N ine II	etwork Ti NE - Networkin	aining, l g Pass	Earn More	
Mast fi claims	re pro	be am	id 50	G cor	onav	irus	
🕓 4 April 2020				f	Ø y		Share
Coronavirus pa	Indemic						
Pillionairas	Innovation	Leedership					
Bittionalles	mnovacion	Leadership	Money	Business	Small Bus	iness Lifesty	/le Lists
Bittionalies	mnovation	Leadership	Money	Business	Small Bus	iness Lifest <u>y</u>	/le Lists
÷	innovation		Money	Business Adver Ads by	Small Bus	iness Lifest	/le Lists

News

Sport

Reel

Worklife

Future

Travel

UK Phone Masts Are Being Set On Fire Over 'Dangerous' 5G Coronavirus Conspiracies



Carly Page Contributor ^① Consumer Tech I cover Tech in Europe, including big tech, PC hardware and telecoms

https://www.bbc.com/news/av/stories-53285610/the-people-who-think-coronavirus-is-caused-by-5g

MOBILE US & WORLD TECH

British 5G towers are being set on fire because of coronavirus conspiracy theories

5G is causing anarchy in the UK By Tom Warren | @tomwarren | Apr 4, 2020, 12:44pm EDT

f 🈏 🕝 SHARE



A 5G cell tower | Photo by Mikhail Pochuyev\TASS via Getty Images

5G phone masts are being set alight in the UK, after online conspiracy theories have misleadingly linked the cell towers to the coronavirus pandemic. The <u>BBC reports</u> that at least three 5G towers were set alight within the last week, and police and fire services were called to extinguish the flames.

A Vodafone UK spokesperson confirmed to *The Verge* that four cell towers were targeted in the past 24 hours. Police have now launched investigations into how the 5G towers caught fire. At least one tower in Birmingham, operated by EE, doesn't even provide 5G services but was still set on fire.

GET WEEKLY NEWS & INSIGHT ON THE STREAMING INDUSTRY.

A newsletter from Vulture's Joe Adalian



112 💻

VERGE DEALS



Eero's three-pack of mesh Wi-Fi routers is down to \$170 at Best Buy and Amazon



Toshiba's discounted 50-inch 4K





Damaged cabling and telecommunications equipment in Huddersfield, northern England, on April 17, 2020. The fire comes after a number of mobile phone masts have been set on fire amid claims of a link between 5G and the novel coronavirus COVID-19. | Photo by OLI SCARFF/AFP via Getty Images





Damaged cabling and telecommunications equipment is pictured following a fire at a phone mast, attached to the chimney at the converted Fearnley Mill residential apartment block complex in Huddersfield, northern England, on April 17, 2020. OLI SCARFF/AFP via Getty Images

5G, COVID conspiracy theory claims 77 UK phone masts

By Catherine Sbeglia on MAY 7, 2020

5G, Network Infrastructure



The cause of a fire that damaged telecommunications equipment in Huddersfield, England, is not yet known; several cell towers have been burned amid claims that 5G technology is related to COVID-19. Photograph by Oli Scarff / AFP / Getty

THERE IS NO CORRELATION BETWEEN 5G DEPLOYMENT AND THE OUTBREAK OF THE CORONAVIRUS! 5G & Covid19 Spreading Fake theories are not

based on any scientific evidence





Thermal effects



radiation. Most household microwave ovens operate on a frequency of 2.450 GHz in a continuous wave (cw) mode.

- Typical levels of radiation leakage from microwave ovens is max about 0.2 mW/cm^2
- This level of leakage cannot be sensed by the body.
- The power density decreases rapidly with increasing distance.

Thermal effects

- <u>Dielectric heating</u>, in which any dielectric material (tissue) is heated by rotations of polar molecules.
- cell phone: heating effect: at the surface of the head, causing its temperature to increase by a fraction of a degree. (less than sunlight effect).
- The <u>brain's blood circulation</u> is capable of disposing of excess heat by increasing local blood flow.
- However, the cornea of the eye <u>does not have</u> this temperature <u>regulation</u> <u>mechanism</u>. (cataracts disease of engineers who work on high power radio transmitters at similar frequencies).

Thresholds for the induction of thermal effects to the human body

Target	Effect	Threshold		
Whole body	Various physiological effects	1.0 °C		
Eye lens	Cataract	3 ÷ 5 °C		
Skin	Warmth sensation	0.02 ÷ 1 °C		
SKIII	Pain sensation / Burns	10 ÷ 20 °C		
Brain	Neuron damage	4.5 °C		

Experiment on thermal effects of the rabbit eye

Exposure of the rabbit eye (very similar to human eye) to incident power densities higher than (100 mW/cm^2) applied for at least half an hour is able to induce lens opacification (<u>cataract</u>), appearing after a latency period of a few days. Temperature of the lens is increased by at least 3 °C. note: GL (<u>1 mW/cm²</u>).

Temperature elevations induced, after 15 minutes, in the user's head by a phone equipped with helical antenna kept in "touch-cheek" position

 $f = 835 \text{ MHz}; P_{rad} = 250 \text{ mW}$

Heating cause	∆T _{ear} [°C]	ΔT _{brain} [°C]
SAR	0.08	0.02
Phone contact	0.90	0.01
Phone contact + power dissipation in the amplifier	0.94	0.01
Phone contact + power dissipation in the amplifier + SAR	0.98	0.02

Unaveraged MAX SAR, for the realistic head image adjacent to the helical antenna handset, as modelled by the hybrid method.







-10

-15

-20

-25

-30

-35

-40



-5 -10 -15 -20 -25 -30 -35 -40 -45



Electric field distribution (in dB) for satellite QHA handset in free space (input voltage of 1 volt for each of the four feed sources) using hybrid method Electric field distribution (in dB) at central vertical slice of a simulated biological head interacting with satellite QHA handset, with separation distance of 2cm, using hybrid method Microcells Radiation Head only interaction Study (vodafone , UK)

A comparison between <u>the safety distances</u> of a micro cell antenna using electromagnetic field and the specific absorption rate (SAR) assessment is made.

The input power in the antenna is determined such that the electromagnetic fields and the SAR equal the reference levels and the basic restrictions, respectively, at a certain distance.



(Notes):•The local field concentration in the nose

<u>Peak SAR</u> calculations (in dB) for the three main median planes for half wavelength dipole antenna behind (left column) and in front of (right column) the head. Frequency <u>1875.5</u> <u>MHz</u>, distance <u>0.5</u> <u>wavelength</u> from nearest point of head. Radiated power normalised to **1W**.



SAR distribution: (a) over the body surface; (b) in a coronal section
 f = 900 MHz; P_{inc} = 1 mW/cm²

Far field measurements



Equipments and Software:

- spectrum analyser (SPECTRAN® HF-6080)
- The antenna (HyperLOG® 6080)
- tripod, adopter and 5 meters extension cable.
- Microsoft® Office Excel
- "Google Earth"
- SPECTRAN spectrum analyser software "LCS"



Long term (24 hours) measurement of RF radiation

2-Long-term measurements:

- The antenna was fixed and connected to the spectrum analyser.
- The number of readings was set 240 and the time between readings is 360 seconds.
- After 24 hours the data were downloaded using spectrum analyser software "LCS".





Street Mapping of Power Density



- The levels of RF radiation from mobile phone base stations in the Kingdom of Bahrain was much less than exposure limits issued by ICNIRP or by PCPMREW.
- Max. RF radiation levels for GSM900:
 0.003400 W/m² (E=1.133 V/m and H=3.007 mA/m)
- \rightarrow 0.073% of ICNIRP limit (4.63 W/m²) :: 1362 times less

Max. RF radiation levels for GSM1800: **0.001440 W/m²** (E = 0.737 V/m and H = 1.958 mA/m). $\rightarrow 0.016\%$ of ICNIRP limit

Max. RF radiation levels for UMTS:
 0.00064739 W/m² (E = 0.494 V/m and H = 1.311 mA/m)
 → 0.016% of ICNIRP limit

ICNRP statement (2G/3G/4G)

Recent surveys have shown that the RF exposures from base stations range from 0.002% to 2% of the levels of international exposure guidelines



الات	-	2 18	11	ة ت	5	
Telecon	nmuni	cation	s Regu	latory	Auth	ority

Control of Telecom Mast Emissions

Electromagnetic emissions of any telecom base stations have been proven to be un-harmful by World Health Organization (WHO) and International Telecommunication Union (ITU).

Monitoring Radio Emissions from Base Stations of Public Operators in the Kingdom of Bahrain

Following extensive research, ICNIRP has produced guidelines setting out levels of non-ionizing radiation (which includes EMF radiation discussed above), at or below which, there is no scientific evidence to show any danger to human health. These levels have been accepted and adopted by the WHO.

https://www.tra.org.bh/Media/Licenses/Measurement%20Mechanism.pdf





Electromagnetic Spectrum







Thousands of users

Transport infrastructure

monitoring & Smart cities

infrastructure

Improved residential connections, Smart energy

HE millimeter-wave (mmWave) band : is part of the radio frequency (RF) spectrum, comprised of frequencies between 30 GHz and 300 GHz, corresponding

to a wavelength range of 10 to 1 mm. The photon energy of mmWaves ranges from 0.1 to 1.2 milli-electron volts (meV). Unlike ultraviolet, X-ray, and gamma radiation, mmWave radiation is non-ionizing, and the main safety concern is heating of the eyes and skin caused by the absorption of mmWave energy in the human body [1][2][3].

5G NR uses two frequency ranges:^[4]

1.Frequency Range 1 (FR1), including **sub-6 GHz** frequency bands

2. Frequency Range 2 (FR2), including frequency bands in the mmWave range (24–100GHz)

		Band								
Country or territory	Operator \$	DSS with 4G LTE ÷	n40 2.3 GHz ≑	n41 2.5 GHz ≑	n78 3.5 GHz ◆	n79 4.7 GHz ≑	n257 28 GHz <i>\$</i> (APAC)	n258 n261 26 GHz ♦ 28 GHz (E, Sort ascending)	♦ Others	Notes
Bahrain	Batelco				90 MHz Jun 2019					[19][20][21]
	stc				90 MHz Jun 2019					[19][22][23]
	Zain				90 MHz Jun 2020					[19][24]
South Korea	LG U+				80 MHz Dec 2018		800 MHz Dec 2018			[1][175][176][177] World's first commercial service
	кт				100 MHz Dec 2018		800 MHz Dec 2018			[1][175][176][177] World's first commercial service
	SK Telecom				100 MHz Dec 2018		800 MHz Dec 2018			[1][175][176][177] World's first commercial service

https://www.spectrummonitoring.com/frequencies/frequencies1.html



Fundamentals of mmWave Radiation

Nonionizing Characteristicof mmWave Radiation

The mmWave band is the part of the RF spectrum between 30 and 300 GHz that corresponds to a free space wavelength ranging from <u>10 to 1 mm</u>.

EM of RF is traveling waves, <u>mmWave</u> can also be described as having a particle-like nature. These particle-like components of electromagnetic waves are called "photons." Each photon has an energy level given by

Photon Energy:
$$E = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$$
,

Higher frequency → higher energy

The photon energy of mmWaves ranges from 0.1 to 1.2 meV.

Unlike ionizing radiation (<u>ultraviolet, X-ray, and gamma radiation</u>), which has been linked to <u>cancer</u> due to the displacement of electrons during exposure mmWave radiation is nonionizing because the photon energy is not nearly sufficient to remove an electron from an atom or a molecule (<u>typically 12 eV is required</u>).

Exposure levels at 5G frequencies?

DOSIMETRY of MILLIMETER WAVES

Main Safety Guidelines

- ICNIRP <u>International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection</u> (1998). Guidelines for limiting exposure to time varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz). Health Physics 74(4), 494-522.
- Federal Communications Commission (FCC) (1996) + IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 28. IEEE standard (US) for safety levels with respect to human exposure to electromagnetic fields, 0-3 GHz. New York, NY, IEEE - The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2002 (IEEE Std C95.6-2002).
- WHO World Health Organization. Extremely low frequency fields. Environmental Health Criteria, Vol. 238. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2007.
- National standards : EC + FCC + China + Russia + Switzerland + <u>Italy</u> standards





RF EMF GUIDELINES 2020

Mar 2020

https://www.icnirp.org/en/activities/news/news-article/rf-guidelines-2020-published.html

https://www.icnirp.org/en/applications/mobile-phones/index.html

Exposure guidelines

RF-EMF Compliance Standards

The two basic dosimetric parameter to establish if the exposure is safe or not are :

- 1. PFD, "S" (<u>Reference Level</u>, Free space measurements)
- 2. SAR (basic restriction, EM inside biological tissues)



Power density , "S" (Free space measurements)

$$PD = \frac{P}{S} = |\vec{E} \times \vec{H}|,$$

where *P* is the incident power, *S* is the exposed surface area, and \vec{E} and \vec{H} are the electric and magnetic field vectors, respectively. <u>The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)</u> <u>(EM inside biological tissues)</u> معدل الامتصاص النوعي

SAR is defined as the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass of the body tissue, (power absorbed per unit mass) W/kg

$$SAR = \frac{P}{m} = \frac{\sigma |\vec{E}|^2}{\rho} = C \frac{dT}{dt} \Big|_{t=c}$$

E : the magnitude of the measured or computed rms electric field.

 σ : the tissue conductivity ~ , ~ ~ ρ : the tissue mass density.

m: the tissue mass , C: the heat capacity T: the temperature

- is a quantitative measure of power absorbed per unit of mass and time. In contrast to the PD, it also takes into account the physical properties of exposed samples:
- is a measurement of how much electromagnetic radiation is absorbed by body tissue whilst using a mobile phone.
- The higher the SAR the more radiation is absorbed.
TABLE 1. The variations of exposure limits to RF radiation in several countries.

Heating Limit

Country/Guidelines	PD Restrictions for the General Public in W/m ²	PD Restrictions for the General Public in mW/cm ²	Frequency Range (GHz)	Basis
ICNIRP [17] (1998)	10	1	2–300	Science based
FCC [16] (1996)	10	1	1.5–100	Science based
China [32] (1987)*	0.1	0.01	0.3–300	Science based
Russia [33] (2003)	0.1	0.01	0.3–300	Science based
Switzerland [34] and [35] (2000)*	0.1	0.01	1.8–300	Precautionary
Italy [36] (2003)*	0.1	0.01	0.0001–300	Precautionary
Typical maximum exposure from cellular base station mounted on 50-m tower (assuming a total effective radiated power of 2,500 W in each sector, summed over all channels)	0.01 re	0.001	1–2	Example from [29]

*These restrictions only apply to sensitive areas, such as school, hospital, or rooms in buildings, where they are regularly occupied by persons for prolonged periods.

TABLE 2. A comparison of the FCC and ICNIRPlocal SAR limits in the head and trunk for thegeneral public.

Exposure Standard	SAR Limits for Near-Field RF Exposure (W/kg)	Frequency Range (MHz)	Averaging Volume
ICNIRP	2	10—10,000	"any 10 g of contiguous tissue" (10-g SAR)
FCC	1.6	0.1–6,000	"any 1 g of tissue, defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube" (1-g SAR)

Modern mmWave Example Suggesting Temperature-Based Compliance

TABLE 3. FCC compliance evaluation criteria used fordifferent exposure scenarios [44].Heating Limit				
Frequency (GHz)	Distance Between Radiation Sources and the Human Body (cm)	Criterion		
<6	< 20	SAR		
<6	>20	PD (direct measurements)		
>6	>5	PD (direct measurements)		
>6	< 5	PD (numerical modeling)		

Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation

Comparison between different Reference Levels for general public exposure for frequency band of <u>UMTS (2110 – 2170 MHz)</u>:

	E-field strength (V/m)	H-field strength (A/m)	Power density (W/m ²)
ICNIRP	61.4	0.16	10
NRPB	194	0.52	100
IEEE			14.07 - 14.47
FCC			10
ARPANS	61.4	0.163	10
CANADA	61.4	0.163	10
ITALY	6	0.016	0.1

2.2.2 Electric Field Strength, Magnetic Field Strength and Power Density (10 MHz–300 GHz)

To ensure compliance with the basic restrictions outlined in Section 2.1, at frequencies between 10 MHz and 300 GHz, the reference levels for electric- and magnetic-field strength and power density must be complied with.

TABLE 5: Reference Levels for Electric Field Strength, Magnetic Field Strength and Power Density in Uncontrolled Environments

Frequency (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E _{RL}), (V/m, RMS)	Magnetic Field Strength (H _{RL}), (A/m, RMS)	Power Density (S _{RL}), (W/m²)	Reference Period (minutes)
10-20	27.46	0.0728	2	6
20-48	58.07 / f ^{0.25}	0.1540 / f ^{0.25}	8.944 / f ^{0.5}	6
48-300	22.06	0.05852	1.291	6
300-6000	3.142 f ^{0.3417}	0.008335 f 0.3417	0.02619 f 0.6834	6
6000-15000	61.4	0.163	10	6
15000-150000	61.4	0.163	10	616000 / f ^{1.2}
150000-300000	$0.158 f^{0.5}$	4.21x10 ⁻⁴ f ^{0.5}	6.67x10 ⁻⁵ f	616000 / f ^{1.2}

Frequency, f, is in MHz.

TABLE 6: Reference Levels for Electric Field Strength, Magnetic Field Strength and Power Density in Controlled Environments

Frequency (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E _{RL}), (V/m, RMS)	Magnetic Field Strength (H _{RL}), (A/m, RMS)	Power Density, (S _{RL}), (W/m²)	Reference Period (minutes)
10-20	61.4	0.163	10	6
20-48	129.8 / f ^{0.25}	0.3444 / f ^{0.25}	44.72 / f ^{0.5}	6
48-100	49.33	0.1309	6.455	6
100-6000	15.60 f ^{0.25}	0.04138 f ^{0.25}	0.6455 f ^{0.5}	6
6000-15000	137	0.364	50	6
15000-150000	137	0.364	50	616000 / f ^{1.2}
150000-300000	0.354 f ^{0.5}	9.40x10 ⁻⁴ f ^{0.5}	3.33x10 ⁻⁴ f	616000 / f ^{1.2}

Frequency, f, is in MHz.

The highest frequency at which SAR is still considered: 10 GHz for the ICNIRP guideline and European Recommendation, 6 GHz for the IEEE standard and the FCC regulation.

Because the <u>millimeter-wave frequency range</u> absorption is extremely superficial, therefore power density (PD) is used.

Dosimetry Techniques

Analytical

Measurments

Numerical

available forsimple geometriesand handsets

Problem: Theseare rather restrictedin their applicationto real life models.

Real radiating
structure to expose
anatomically shaped
phantoms of the
human body.

Problem: rather simplified models of the human body, not able to represent the complexity of a biological subject. Very accurate and realistic models of the human body

Problem: The difficulty in modelling complex radiating structures

Can be overcame by adopting appropriate numerical techniques. 1. Temperaturesensing probes

2. E-field probes







MILLIMETER WAVE EFFECTS ON HUMAN Eye and SKIN

A pragmatic review based on available studies.

mmWave Effects on Eyes

The eyes are <u>vulnerable</u> to mmWave induced heating as they <u>are located</u> <u>on the surface of the body.</u>



the eyes <u>lack sufficient blood flow</u> (Unlike hands and arms) to redistribute the generated heat.

- <u>care should be taken</u> to prevent unsafe overexposure of the eyes.

TABLE 4. The summary of ocular damage caused by mmWave radiation.

Author	Study Target	Frequency (GHz)	PD (mW/cm²)	Duration	Results
Rosenthal et al. [47]	Rabbit eyes	35/107	50	15—80 min	Transient corneal damage, began to recover on the next day
Kues et al. [45]	Nonhuman primate eyes	60	10	8 h	No detectable ocular damage
Chalfin et al. [46]	Nonhuman primate eyes	35	2,000– 7,000	1.5–5 s	Transient corneal lesions reversible within 24 h
Kojima et al. [48]	Rabbit eyes	60	1,898	6 min	Corneal edema and desiccation

Eye injuries from exposure with 200 mW/cm² at 75 GHz for 6 min are shown in Fig.

Open Access | Published: 27 May 2018

Ocular Effects of Exposure to 40, 75, and 95 GHz Millimeter Waves



mmWave Effects on Skin

Dielectric Properties of the Skin

Human skin consists of two primary layers: an outer <mark>epidermis</mark> and an underlying <mark>dermis</mark>.

The thickness of the human epidermis and dermis varies in the range of 0.06–0.1 and 1.2–2.8 mm, respectively.

The surface layer of the epidermis is called the "stratum corneum" with a thickness of 0.012– 0.018 mm.

The stratum corneum has low water content (15–40%), and the total water concentration in the rest of the epidermis and dermis is 70–80%.



Since mmWave energy is attenuated very rapidly in moist aqueous tissue, this high water content leads to high absorption coefficients of mmWave electromagnetic energy in the tissue.

Thus, mmWave energy penetrates the stratum corneum easily <u>but is rapidly absorbed within the</u> <u>deeper epidermis and dermis</u> and does not propagate further into the body [42], [53].



International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies, page 1 of 11. © Cambridge University Press and the European Microwave Association, 2011 doi:10.1017/S1759078711000122

Millimeter-wave interactions with the human body: state of knowledge and recent advances

MAXIM ZHADOBOV¹, NACER CHAHAT¹, RONAN SAULEAU¹, CATHERINE LE QUEMENT² AND YVES LE DREAN²

60-GHz exposures, It is shown that 26–41% of power is reflected at the air/skin interface for the normal incidence, and this value deviates significantly for illuminations under oblique incidence.

Millimeter Wave Dosimetry of Human Skin

S.I. Alekseev, A.A. Radzievsky, M.K. Logani, and M.C. Ziskin*

Center for Biomedical Physics, Temple University Medical School, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

the deeper fat layer had little effect on the PD and SAR profiles. We observed the appearance of a moderate SAR peak in the therapeutic frequency range (42–62 **GHz)** within the skin at a depth of 0.3–0.4 mm. Millimeter waves penetrate into the human skin deep enough (d=0.65 mm at 42 **GHz)** to affect most skin structures

mmWave Effects on Skin





https://wireless.engineering.nyu.edu/mmwave-health-effects/

The peak steady-state temperature elevations for 10 and 50 W/m² exposure in the one-layer human tissue model are 0.1 and 0.5 °C, due to 60-GHz exposure

However, for the three-layer human tissue model, the peak temperature elevations are higher, at 0.16 and 0.8 °C, respectively, for 10 and 50 W/m² exposure.





Home / Newsroom / Q&A Detail / 5G mobile networks and health

5G mobile networks and health

27 February 2020 | Q&A

As the frequency increases, there is less penetration into the body tissues and absorption of the energy becomes more confined to the surface of the body (skin and eye). Provided that the overall exposure remains below international guidelines, no consequences for public health are anticipated.



🗙 🛛 📿 EN 😚 تسجيل الدخول 😚 EN

+



https://safetymeasurements.tra.org.bh



Juzunal Dar

https://www.tra.org.bh/category/control-of-telecom-mast-emissions

Date Address of measurement loca	Address of measurement location	ion Average Field Strength, V/r			
(dd/mm yyyy)	(Building#, Road#, Block#, Area)	2G	4G	3G	46/56
June 19, 2019	<u>BLD101; RD5407; BLK254;</u>	0.195	0.241	0.212	0.053
June 19, 2019	<u>BLD483; RD5123; BLK251;</u>	1.516	0.802	0.8	1.336
June 19, 2019	<u>BLD2422; RD5444; BLK254;</u>	0.412	0.913	0.491	0.297

Band (MHz)	Radius of potential risks around base stations (Meters)
900	7.35
1800	5.2
2100	4.5
3500	4.5

Measured emission vs. ICNIRP threshold

Date: June 19, 2019 Location: BLD101; RD5407; BLK254;



Table [2] "Bands &	Distances of	[;] potential risks'
--------------------	--------------	-------------------------------

Band (MHz)	Electrical Field Strength	Electrical Field
	(V/m)	Strength dB(µV/m)**
900*	41	152.26
1800*	58	155.27
2100	61	155.7
3500	61	155.7

Table [1] "Reference levels for limiting exposure to electromagnetic fields"

Conclusions

Does 5G mmWaves (NR F2) pose <u>health risks</u>?

How worried should we be?

1) is 5G safe? We do not know for sure, Initial measurment show that it satisfy international guidelines (IEEE, FCC (USA), ICNIRP (int.)) for "short term" the heating limits. For long term effect, it is just the same as 2G,3G and 4G ,, (uncertaintly) research should be continued.

2) mmWave of 5G is a none ionizing radiation, (heating effect).

- 5G and all the telecommunications technology are <u>none ionizing radiation</u>, Dependent on the energy and exposure time, **non-ionising radiation can** cause localized heating increases the risk of damage to the skin and eyes. That is why we focus on the heating effects of mmWaves.

3) 5G new features should be considered in health risks assessments

5G features : extensive adoption of MIMO and beamforming (user or not user?), densification of 5G sites small cells and IoT, adoption of frequencies in the mmWave bands (Not everybody is exposed like legacy networks)

Therefore, measurement should consider the new features and consider the average exposure (peaks of expusure) for commercial networks.

4) NO relations of 5G and Corona Virus !!

- There is no scintefic evidance and no correlation (except these are both two new things).
- Countries with no 5G roll out affected by corona virus
- Years of research for investigations (NIR , current 3.5 GHz deployments (same band as 2G/3G/4G)

6) Should we stop 5G?

- Do not agree to stop deployments, the Applications is outstanding (car /risk of accidents analogy)
- Recent animal-based studies, demonstrating that the claimed health effects about the carcinogenicity of RF radiation can not be applied to 5G gNBs and 5G UE. (needs to be verified) international protocols when performing measurements needs to be reviewd fro 5G.
- reduce the exposure from 5G gNBs and 5G UE, thus minimizing the perceived health risks. (Mitigation techniques)

Final Conclusion

Not stop 5G but we should continue development and research of risk possibilities

& Improve EMF public awareness and risk communication

Thank you, Any Questions / Discussions Prof. Mohab Abd-Alhameed Mangoud mmangoud@ieee.org

Download (ppt): mangoud.com /